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SUMMARY: A total of 3886 civilian candidates were medically examined for entry to the Army during the period 1980 to 1990. Eight per cent of the candidates failed their examination. The commonest causes for rejection were back and knee disorders, the majority associated with trauma. The next most common disorder was hearing loss, closely followed by myopia.

Analysis of the incidence of individual conditions showed that only hearing loss and myopia were more prevalent than spondylolisthesis. It is felt, therefore, that consideration should be given to the routine radiographic screening of all candidates for spondylolisthesis and indeed for spondylolysis.

The incidence of medical conditions was low. In the assessment of the individual's fitness for entry, the effects of military training and service in the field on his or her functional capacity must be considered.

Problems that may arise when a candidate is rejected are discussed.

Introduction
This report deals with the experience of a President of Standing Medical Boards (PSMB) examining candidates for entry to the Army over a period of 10 years, 1980 — 1990.

Material and Methods
The total number of candidates examined was 3886. The candidates consisted of 2944 for entry to RMAS including 290 applicants for Army Scholarships and entry to Welbeck College who were considered to be potential candidates for entry to the Army in due course, and 942 for direct commissioning in a non combatant corps. The standard of fitness required for entry was basically the same for all candidates.

Fitness for Service was based upon the PULHEEMS system of medical grading as laid down in the PULHEEMS Administrative Pamphlet (1), in conjunction with the instructions contained in JSP 346 (2).

Results
Of the 3886 candidates examined by medical boards, 310 (8%) were found to be unfit for entry.

Twenty-one candidates presented with two or more conditions causing rejection.

When the reasons for rejection were examined, it was found that there were seven main groups of conditions (Table 1) presenting in the candidates who failed, with, in addition, a miscellaneous group.

In the following analysis of the results, it is to be noted that all percentages recorded are percentages of the total number of the candidates rejected.

It can be seen from Table 1 that the orthopaedic group with 107 cases (34.5%), produced the highest number of failures. This was followed by the aural — 57 cases (18.4%); ophthalmic — 42 cases (13.5%); pulmonary —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Groups</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Number of Failed Candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Orthopaedic</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Aural</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Ophthalmic</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Pulmonary</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Dermatological</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Neurological</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Genito-Urinary</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24 cases (7.7%); dermatological — 23 cases (7.4%); neurological — 13 cases (4.2%); and genito-urinary — 12 cases (3.8%).

When the aetiology of the orthopaedic group of conditions was considered, it was found that 74 (69.1%) of the cases were associated with trauma (Table 2).

Table 3 lists the incidence of the common individual conditions that presented. In the order of frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthopaedic Conditions Associated with Trauma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
these conditions consisted of back and knee disorders — 85 cases (27.4%); hearing loss — 38 cases (12.2%); myopia — 33 cases (10.6%); asthma — 20 cases (6.4%); ear infection — 19 cases (6.1%) and eczema — 12 cases (3.9%).

When the disorders of the back and knee were analysed (Table 4), it was found that there were 3 main conditions presenting as a cause for rejection, i.e. spondylolisthesis — 27 cases (8.7%); knee ligament weakness — 12 cases (3.9%); and chondromalacia patella — 10 cases (3.2%).

The number of cases in the neurological and genito-urinary groups was small and they are recorded in Tables 5 and 6 respectively.

The miscellaneous group consisted of disparate conditions of insignificant numbers (Table 7).

Eighty-nine (2.5%) of the candidates failed the ‘Homes-Wright Lantern’ colour perception test (CP 4). Those who were examined for a commission in a non-combatant corps and were otherwise fit were recommended for acceptance. The remaining candidates, who failed the test but were otherwise fit, were recommended for acceptance at the discretion of the Director of Army Recruiting, in accordance with the instructions given in the PULHEEMS Administrative Pamphlet. The candidates who failed the test are not included in the total number of candidates who failed their medical examination for entry.

Discussion
Eight per cent, a ratio of 1 in 12.5, of the candidates failed their medical examination.

In a search of the literature, no study was found that could be used to compare with the author’s experience. However, Sinclair (3), in a study of 208 potential recruits examined on entry, recorded a 19% medical failure rate.

The findings of the orthopaedic group of conditions presenting in the largest number of failed candidates, could be expected in view of the relative youth of the majority of subjects examined in this study, bearing in mind that sixty-nine per cent of the latter conditions were associated with trauma.

Disorders of the back and knee joint, together were the commonest cause of failure of candidates, and of these disorders, spondylolisthesis (31.7%) was the most prevalent.
The next highest incidence of conditions presenting in failed candidates were defective hearing and vision and they were followed by asthma and ear infections, the only other conditions that it could be said had any significant incidence.

When the incidence of spondylolisthesis is compared with the incidence of other conditions, it is found that only hearing loss and myopia were more prevalent.

Bonnici, Koka and Richards (4) recently stated that the separation in the pars is always due to a fatigue fracture. In their series of 24 cases of spondylolisthesis, they found 11 cases presented with pain of acute onset following injury to the back during the teens and early twenties. They felt that it was reasonable to assume that that was the time their stress fractures occurred but, however, it was also possible that the onset of their back pain was related to an acute back strain superimposed on an already present spondylolisthesis. They found only one isolated documented case of spontaneous healing of a pars fracture in the literature.

In the present study, the use of a finger to detect a palpable 'step' in the lumbo-sacral spine was responsible for the finding of a 'pars defect' with evidence of a 'slip' when X-ray examination was subsequently carried out. Invariably, the candidate was symptomless and gave no history of back injury. However, in most cases, when informed of the finding, the candidate would recall an episode of back pain usually when playing games in late adolescence.

Among medical conditions, only asthma presented as an important illness. Fleming and Crombie (5) recently commented on asthma’s increasing prevalence and more frequent recognition in children. Carson (6) in his personal view on bronchial asthma in servicemen stated that adults with even mild symptomatic asthma do not do well in service life. The JSP states that candidates who have had symptoms in the previous four years should be rejected. Those candidates who had been symptom free in the latter period were referred for exercise vitalography and if found to have abnormal bronchial lability were rejected.

The one case of hay fever rejected suffered from severe symptoms including wheezing and needed to take medication to control them.

The reason for rejecting the nine cases of migraine was that they had a long history of significant symptoms and to gain relief from them they had to take medication and rest during an attack.

In Table 8, a list of some of the conditions of unusual interest, which presented in the candidates rejected, is recorded. An appraisal of the list emphasises the importance and necessity of taking a careful and exact medical history and of undertaking a meticulous and detailed physical examination.

There were some conditions, that frequently presented on clinical examination, which were not of sufficient severity to warrant rejection of a candidate. Chest asymmetry and postural scoliosis were common findings, and were often associated with the candidate having been an oarsman at school.

Congenital short leg of a minimum degree (less than 1.5 cm) was not an unusual finding. A common physical finding in a hip of a candidate who was asymptomatic with no history of joint disorder, was a reduced amount of internal rotation associated with an excessive range of external rotation and normal radiological findings. Hyperextension mobility of digits with sometimes similar mobility of the elbows and knees was a common finding also.

In his assessment of the candidate’s fitness for service, the PSMB must be clear and concise in his thinking and use sound commonsense. Although, bearing in mind, that it is the functional capacity and motivation of the individual that matters most, the PSMB must at the same time give thought to the possibility of any deterioration in the future. He should envisage, for example, what the consequences might be if the individual were to become unfit on active service.

In addition, apart from taking into account the interest of the Service and indeed the interests of the individual, due regard must be given to the financial implications of an individual being medically discharged during basic training.

In recent years, there has been increasing public demand for open access to personal medical information and increasing understanding by the public of medical
terminology and conditions. There has also been a dramatic increase in the frequency of negligence claims against doctors. As a result, a PSMB must give a great deal more thought and care to the way he conducts the medical board proceedings and specifically to how he communicates with the candidate.

Finally, the decision of a medical board to recommend the rejection of a candidate may evoke a reaction from his or her parents, and indeed from persons in high office in civilian life. The parents' reaction usually takes the form of consulting their GP and/or a civilian specialist. The civilian specialist may lack knowledge of the standards of fitness required for military service or indeed specifically of the effects the stresses of military training may have on a particular condition. As a result, the parents may be misguided and in due course appeal against the medical board's recommendation. Interviewing the parents of a candidate at an early stage after the completion of the medical board was considered by the PSMB to be the most appropriate way of preventing problems arising from the rejection of a candidate.

Conclusions

The finding of a high incidence of disorders of the back and knee, the majority of which were associated with trauma and of the low incidence of medical conditions, can be understood when it is borne in mind that the subjects examined were healthy young adults who had spent a good deal of their life playing games at school.

On the other hand, the high incidence of aural and ophthalmic disorders is surprising and requires explanation.

The prevalence of spondylolisthesis amongst the conditions causing rejection needs consideration from the point of view of deciding whether or not, all candidates should have a routine X-ray examination to exclude its presence or indeed that of spondylolysis.

The functional capacity of an individual must be assessed on a long term and not on a short term basis. Careful and exact medical history taking, meticulous and detailed physical examination and skill in the art of communication are of the utmost importance in the medical examination of a candidate.

Finally, to prevent problems arising when a candidate is rejected, interviewing the parents should be a routine procedure.
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Editor's note: JSP 346 has been extensively revised and will be re-issued in 1992.
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