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SUMMARY: The military surgeon is an officer who is usually held in high esteem by his colleagues in the modern army. This has not always been the case in the past however, and this present study is an attempt to trace his origins from antiquity to the position which he holds in the modern British army.

Introduction

Although treatment of wounds and fractures must have been given to casualties from the earliest periods of human history, the results of serious injuries in antiquity were usually fatal; occasionally, however, there is evidence that medical intervention may have prolonged life. It may be assumed that primitive man had some basic concepts of therapy, but treatment was probably more closely allied to the practice of witchcraft and magic than to genuine medicine.

The discovery of writing originated in Mesopotamia early in the third millennium BC, and the art of writing was soon copied by the Egyptians. It is reasonable to suppose that major advances in medical knowledge were only likely to take place after the recording of facts was made available for posterity to study. The practice of medicine therefore most probably originated in the countries of the ancient Near East, Egypt and Mesopotamia being the principal protagonists of medicine and writing in that area.

The oldest medical texts known at present date from the end of the third millennium BC, but may be copies of even earlier texts which have not been preserved. Egyptian and Mesopotamian medical practice strongly influenced neighbouring countries for the next two thousand years, being finally superseded by the Hippocratic system of medicine from Greece. Although there was a moderate degree of interchange of medical knowledge between Egypt and Mesopotamia, in general the two systems of medical practice remained independent of one another.

Historical background and discussion

In Egypt and Mesopotamia doctors were derived from the educated class, being closely associated with scribes and priests, and consequently able to read their own medical texts. However, throughout most of pharaonic history, the physician of Egypt remained under control of the priesthood. Medical treatment therefore remained very conservative, relying on the well-tried official remedies of ancient authorities; in Mesopotamia, on the other hand, the physician came under control of the king and not the priesthood, and had therefore greater latitude in medical treatment. Physicians from both countries were frequently sent to foreign courts to render medical assistance to friendly rulers and their officials.

The physician was a member of the royal court, being responsible for the health of all members of the court, including military personnel and their dependants. He was expected to accompany the ruler when he went to war, and remained...
close to the military commander in the field\(^7\), being considered too valuable to be exposed to danger in the van of the army. Although medical services may have been adequate for the military high command, they were certainly inadequate for the majority of the fighting troops, for the sanitary corps was totally unknown at this time to military commanders in the field\(^8\).

The ancient Hebrews appointed priests and levites to serve the Lord of Hosts in wartime; they accompanied the army to war and actively served in the field\(^9\). Public health measures and personal hygiene were strictly enforced for religious reasons. There was no appointment of military surgeons; priests merely extended their normal duties in the theocratic state by rendering medical assistance to all members of the military congregation, irrespective of their social position in the army. Hittite medicine during the second millenium BC was dependent on teachings and practitioners from Mesopotamia. It is remarkable that even as late as the Siege of Troy (c 1200 BC), there were no official doctors appointed to the fighting troops; the few physicians who were present in the field were scarce in numbers but highly valued for their technical skills\(^10\).

This unsatisfactory state of affairs was prevalent throughout all countries in the Near East during the second millenium BC, but from the commencement of the first millenium, the status of physicians in wartime tended to change for the better. The gradual rise to power of Assyria created political conditions inside this state which were ideal for the emergence of the military surgeon. The King was supreme commander of the Assyrian army, responsible only to the national god Assur, and the state was administered on the lines of a true military hierarchy. From the reign of King Tiglath-Pileser III (745 to 727 BC) a permanent standing army was maintained, and numerous garrisons were established throughout the Empire in order to enforce the Assyrian peace\(^11\). Court physicians had now become highly esteemed officials, many of whom are known to us by name and who had direct access to the King; no longer were they civilians but were classed as surgeons in the Assyrian army. They were responsible for the health of the king or his representative, maintained the fitness of the fighting troops, and supervised the general health of skilled technicians and groups of prisoners.

Movements of large numbers of peoples within the boundaries of the Empire required strict administration of public health measures by the medical authorities even in peacetime\(^12\), but when applied to the Assyrian army in the field, these measures were not always successful\(^13\). By the 7th century BC the military surgeon had fully justified his position in the Assyrian army and had achieved maximum influence during the reigns of Kings Esarhaddon and Assurbanipal. Records show also that physicians were considered as valuable prisoners of war by the Assyrians\(^14\).

The military surgeon of Assyria was a direct descendant of the civilian physician employed at the royal court of Mesopotamia. He was a product of the military state, and for the first time in recorded history a military state provided its army with adequate medical facilities at all times. The Assyrian surgeon was fully appreciated at his true worth, and provided invaluable service to the whole community, yet, with the total collapse of the Assyrian Empire and
the destruction of Nineveh in 612 BC, his disappearance from the military scene was absolute. This is perhaps not too surprising when it is remembered how deeply Assyria, and all it stood for, was loathed and feared by her neighbours; without any doubt the military surgeon disappeared in the complete destruction of the hated Assyrian army. At the same time, the magnificent library of King Assurbanipal, which contained numerous medical texts useful to surgeons, had been burnt and destroyed; medical teaching must have suffered badly in consequence. Thus, the first successful emergence of the military surgeon had no lasting impact on the development of military medicine in future world history.

The power vacuum left by the eclipse of Assyria was soon filled by the Babylonians and Persians in the ensuing centuries, but neither power followed the Assyrian method of military government. The kings of Persia reverted to the earlier system of having civilian physicians at court, Greek physicians who took part in military operations. Persian military expeditions against Greece, although ultimately unsuccessful, provided further opportunities for Greek medical influences to be diffused widely throughout Asia Minor.

Conditions in ancient Egypt remained relatively unchanged throughout the centuries, and even the temporary conquest of the country by the Assyrians hardly changed the conservative outlook of the Egyptian doctors. But during the XXVIth dynasty, Pharaoh Amasis (570 to 526 BC) instigated close ties with Greek merchants, and Greek medical influences gradually became strong throughout Egypt, as evidenced by the assimilation of the deified Imhotep to the Greek god Asklepios. The important, but ancient, medical school at Sais was renovated during the latter part of the 6th century BC by order of Darius I of Persia; who certainly employed Greek physicians at his own court. Egypt continued to be strongly influenced by Greek medical thought throughout the period of the XXVI to XXXth dynasties (c 570 to 335 BC). Following the conquest of Egypt by Alexander the Great in 332 BC and the establishment of Alexandria as the most important centre for medical training, the practice of Hippocratic medicine was soon disseminated throughout the whole of the ancient Near East. Alexander himself recognised the necessity of providing adequate medical services for his troops, and may have indeed been the first commander who attempted to organise medical services for officers and troops when on active service. Unfortunately, after his death in 332 BC, doctors in the Hellenistic world were relegated once more to the level of relatively poorly paid technicians, and were no longer considered to be skilled military surgeons.

The emergence of the Roman Empire in the West led to the formation of the legions. At first these troops had minimal medical attention, crude medicine and surgery being practiced by the soldiers upon themselves, but with the conquests of Egypt and Greece, the Romans were influenced by Greek civilisation, and the Roman general soon was accompanied by his personal physician on the battlefield; the earliest physicians were Greek and were not held in much repute by the Romans. By the end of the Second Punic War (201 BC), the Roman army was still deficient in medical services, but this omission was soon rectified, for by the 1st century BC the "medicus" was an official medical aide attached
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to the Roman legion, providing medical services to the legionary\textsuperscript{25}. The provision of sick quarters and the "valetudinarian" was a further attempt to maintain the fighting strength of the legion at maximum efficiency, for these hospital services were an integral part of the military "castrum". Nevertheless, neither properly organised medical services nor military surgeons were provided for the Roman armies by the Government of Rome\textsuperscript{26}, physicians being appointed individually to each legion. By the beginning of the present era, better conditions of service had attracted doctors who were often slaves or freemen into the army, usually being rewarded with the status of Roman citizen for their services. These doctors were skilled in their profession, and were already making important contributions to medical knowledge\textsuperscript{27}. The Emperors themselves also took an active interest in the welfare of their troops\textsuperscript{28}.

Once again, the military surgeon had appeared on the scene. The Roman surgeon had originated from Greek civilians practising Hippocratic methods, who were initially attached to individual commanders in the field. The establishment of permanent garrisons naturally required an expansion of the medical services, and led to increased numbers of trained military surgeons, many of whom were Romans themselves\textsuperscript{29}. During the conquest of the British in the early centuries of the present era, the Roman legions were assisted by auxiliaries recruited in Britain itself. Military surgeons bearing Latin names were attached to the invading troops, but may have been enrolled from local tribes in Britain\textsuperscript{30}. Fortunately for the troops in Britain, they enjoyed some measure of financial independence from the central government at Rome. Nevertheless, the military surgeon in Britain remained at a relatively low social level, thus probably reflecting the lack of funds which were necessary to attract suitable trained recruits to the army. No doubt his civilian counterpart must have been tempted by higher salaries and better living conditions to practice his art in larger cities\textsuperscript{31}. The Roman military surgeon certainly did not enjoy the social status nor financial rewards granted to his Assyrian predecessor, nor were his services fully appreciated by the government at Rome. His position appears to be that of a modest citizen employed as a skilled technician in the army. Roman military control over most of Britain lasted from the 1st to the 4th centuries of the present era, but on the withdrawal of Roman garrison troops, the tribes in Britain reverted to barbarism, and all traces of the Roman military surgeon vanished. This must surely reflect poor conditions of service and the inability to maintain a permanent force for peace by the local inhabitants\textsuperscript{32}.

Although traces of Roman military influence still lingered on under Anglo-Saxon and Norman rule\textsuperscript{33}, feudalism and the military levy (fyrd), instituted from the reign of Alfred the Great in the 9th century, were also employed by the Norman Kings of England\textsuperscript{34}, and military medicine remained at a low ebb. Doctors, often quite unskilled in their art, were called upon for temporary military service by lords of the manor, but the provision of hospitals for troops was virtually non-existent. The Church, by providing medicines and beds for the sick, attempted to alleviate the sufferings of the poor, but was incapable of coping with large numbers of military casualties\textsuperscript{35}. The standard of military
medicine remained at an abysmally low level during the ensuing centuries of the Middle Ages. Physicians who accompanied the Crusaders were usually so incompetent or inexperienced in tropical diseases that they were forced to undergo examinations before being allowed to practice in Jerusalem. The Black Death and the Hundred Years' War between France and England during the 14th century led to even further medical stagnation; alchemy and magic now flourished at the expense of genuine medical knowledge.

With the advent of gunpowder in warfare during the 16th century, the necessity for having competent surgeons to treat casualties became acute, but only in 1645 AD did the New Model Army under Cromwell at last provide acceptable conditions of service for medical practitioners. Medical officers were now appointed to this standing army on a permanent basis and given adequate renumeration for their services. The professional military surgeon had appeared for the third time in history. There were now opportunities for advancement within the ranks for suitably qualified candidates and professional merit was rewarded. Cromwell therefore may be considered to be the first British commander who recognised the value of properly trained military surgeons in the field. Permanent armed forces were maintained in England until their disbandment by Charles II, but even then a small band of regulars was kept for guard duties; political and military necessity however soon required an expansion of the military establishment. At this time, the military surgeon was still a relatively insignificant member of the officer class. Although practising modern medicine based on Hippocratic precepts, he had developed from neither of his predecessors. He originated from the establishment of the Standing Army of Charles II, which itself followed directly on from the army of Cromwell. He himself was usually individually appointed as regimental surgeon, nevertheless well qualified civilian surgeons were still being called upon for the duration of hostilities who were mainly allocated to large hospitals or to staff appointments. The position of the military surgeon, compared to that of the military physician who often obtained better conditions of service and was appointed by civilians, remained unsatisfactory, until the Peninsular War of the early 19th century provided an opportunity to improve medical services for the troops, and better conditions for surgeons in the field; this was mainly due to the efforts of Sir James McGrigor who served with success under the Duke of Wellington. The difference between military physicians and surgeons now began to disappear, both types of officer coming under the control of the Army Medical Department, but, even as late as the time of the Crimean War, doctors were scarce, mainly due to unacceptable conditions of pay. It was significant that Florence Nightingale, together with other civilians, was ably assisted by military surgeons in the Crimea to reorganise and improve nursing and welfare services for the British troops, thereby causing a dramatic drop in mortality of the wounded, whose treatment previously had often been primitive in the extreme. The conclusions of the official Select Committee in 1856 led to improvements in medical administration, better conditions of service for doctors, and provision of adequate medical supplies to the army, benefits which have indeed been maintained and even improved upon to the present day.
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It can be clearly seen that throughout history, the military surgeon has been a product of a political system in which a permanent army was maintained by the establishment; without a permanent standing army he ceased to exist. When the central government was strong and willing to assist in matters medical, the military surgeon flourished and provided excellent service to the community, both civil and military. However, unless adequate remuneration and reasonable terms of service were provided, the best type of officer was not attracted to the services and the health of the troops suffered in consequence. History strongly suggests that only a centralised medical service is capable of providing adequate cover for the troops, and the professional status of the military surgeon is reflected according to the efficiency of this administration.

It is of considerable interest to note that medical officers in the "modern" British army were expected to supervise the infliction of punishment by flogging, and had personally to brand deserters on the chest. In antiquity all forms of branding and mutilation by medical practitioners were strictly prohibited in the countries of the ancient Near East, severe penalties being imposed for any infringement of the law. Both forms of punishment were however inflicted by officials, but in the case of flogging the number of strokes awarded was noticeably fewer than those in British punishments. Curiously enough, the Persian army was actually lashed into battle, no doubt "pour encourager les autres", but victory was not an invariable consequence of this action. Severe military punishments were inflicted on, and by, Roman soldiers, but were not necessarily carried out in the presence of a military surgeon. Public opinion in Britain being against barbarities, such forms of punishment were abolished by the end of the 19th century, and the military surgeon no longer had to supervise them as part of his official duties.
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